

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.5052 OF 2005
WITH
CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.5056 OF 2005
WITH
CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.5058 OF 2005
WITH
CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.5059 OF 2005
WITH
CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.5060 OF 2005
WITH
CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.5061 OF 2005

Mr.Narendra Nahata & Ors. ..Applicants.

V/s.

State of Maharashtra & Anr. ..Respondents.

Mr.Shashi K.Jain for applicants.

Mr.A.P.Mundargi i/b Mahimtura & Co for respondent
No.2.

Mrs.R.M.Gadhavi, APP for State in Cr.Appln.No.5052/05

Mrs.M.H.Mhatre, APP for State in Cr.Appln.No.5056/05 &
Ors.

**CORAM: A.M.KHANWILKAR,J
DATE : DECEMBER 19, 2005.**

P.C. :

1. Heard. The grounds raised before this Court
can be effectively answered by the Revisional Court.

2. As alternative efficacious remedy is
available to the applicants by way of revision before
the Sessions Court in view of the decisions of this
Court in the cases of **V.K.Jain & Ors. vs. Pratap**
V.Padode reported in 2005(3) Mh.L.J. 778 and in the

unreported Judgment in the case of **Chetan R. Nagda & Ors. V/s. N.D.Pawar & Ors.** in **Cri.W.P. No.1023 of 2005** dated **8th December, 2005**, no interference is warranted.

3. These applications are disposed of with liberty to take recourse to remedy of revision, if so advised. All questions are left open.

4. The applicants to file such Revision Application within four weeks from today. Till such time, no precipitative action be taken against the applicants. Revision Application, if filed within four weeks from today, be considered on its own merits in accordance with law.

5. In case, there is delay in filing Revision Application, Court will take into account the fact that the applicants had first approached this Court, which period may be excused in computing delay.

6. Applications disposed of on the above terms.